

University of St Mark & St John Generic Grade Descriptors

Undergraduate Provision

LEVELS 4, 5 and 6 (C, D and H)		
Pass 90-100% Outstanding work which: -	 demonstrates analytical and critical acumen demonstrates the ability to develop and sustain a personal judgement which is well grounded in leading current research demonstrates the ability to present a clear, structured, articulate and persuasive argument 	
Pass 80–89% Exceptional work which: -	 demonstrates thorough, critical understanding of current knowledge demonstrates a critical awareness of the principles and practices of the discipline 	
Pass 70–79% Excellent work which: -	 demonstrates a thorough and comprehensive understanding of the discipline shows evidence of extensive, relevant reading which includes up-to-date research reveals originality and insight demonstrates ability to critically evaluate complex ideas 	
Pass 60–69% Very good work which: -	 demonstrates a sound understanding of the discipline shows effective and competent use of literature demonstrates a clear understanding of complex ideas demonstrates the ability to analyse, interpret and organise information effectively demonstrates a wide reading base is a clear, concise and well-structured presentation 	
Pass 50–59% Good work which: -	 demonstrates a generally sound understanding of the discipline makes good use of relevant literature demonstrates ability to synthesis information into a clear, well-structured account / argument 	
Pass 40–49% Fair work which: -	 demonstrates an understanding of the discipline shows evidence of relevant reading demonstrates ability to work towards tasks set, but more descriptive than analytical demonstrates the ability to organise work appropriately 	

Borderline fail 35-39% Weak work which: -	 demonstrates a basic understanding of the discipline demonstrates some evidence of reading demonstrates evidence of broadly working towards the task(s) set Weaknesses may be identified in one or more of the following: - fragmentary coverage; errors and omissions; organisation and presentation; misconceptions; inclusion of irrelevant information; misinterpretation of instructions.
Fail 30-34% Inadequate work which: -	 demonstrates a basic and partial understanding of the discipline some evidence of reading limited focus on task(s) set Inadequacies may be identified in one or more of the following: - assessment guidelines not followed; little engagement with the discipline; errors / omissions; poorly presented work.
Fail 20-29% Poor work which: -	demonstrates little understanding of the discipline Poor work may be evidenced by one or more of the following: - basic misunderstanding or misinterpretations; inability to meet the requirements of the assessment; poor organisation and presentation; inclusion of inappropriate material.

Postgraduate Provision

15/51 7 (84)	<u>-</u>
LEVEL 7 (M)	
Pass with Distinction	 outstanding work which is thought provoking, presenting challenging
90-100%	evidence-based insights and proposals
Work which: -	 work which is of publishable quality
Pass with Distinction	 contributes to the knowledge base of the discipline
80-89%	 effectively challenges theoretical frameworks
Work which: -	displays methodological rigor
	 demonstrates qualities consistent with publishable material
Pass with Distinction	 demonstrates confident, detailed and informed knowledge of the
70-79%	discipline
Work which: -	 demonstrates qualities of self-critical, independent learning
	 displays a confident / competent grasp of complex issues
	 displays evidence of originality and innovation
Pass with Merit	 demonstrates secure and relevant knowledge base
60-69%	 is analytical in style and critical in interpretation
Work which: -	 demonstrates a fluent style and use of appropriate language
Pass	 demonstrates a competent understanding of the discipline
50-59%	 reveals knowledge and understanding of key issues
Work which: -	 addresses issues within a structured framework
	 demonstrates analytical and critical acumen
	 is well organized and presented

Condonable Fail	displays relevant knowledge of the discipline
40-49	 reveals some evidence of a systematic, coherent and analytical
Work which: -	engagement with the discipline
	 is generally competent but may be conceptually weak
	 contains irrelevant materials
Fail	 demonstrates limited understanding of the discipline
30-39%	 reveals weaknesses / flaws in argument
Work which: -	 uses an insufficient range of sources
	 has a poor style / structure
	is partially incomplete
Fail	 displays insufficient engagement with or lack of understanding of the
20-29%	discipline
Work which: -	 shows limitations in the ability to formulate / sustain a clear argument
	 does not meet the expectations of the task set
Fail	 has serious errors and / or major omissions
10-19%	 is poorly communicated / presented
Work which: -	 is incomplete / does not focus on the task set
Fail	 unacceptable work which lacks evidence of understanding the
1-9%	discipline
Work which: -	 includes inappropriate / irrelevant information
	 lacks any evidence of an appropriate reading base
	 marginal or no engagement with the task
Fail	
0% Non-submission	