3. ASSESSMENT REGULATIONS AND PROCEDURES

3.1 Introduction

1. These regulations set out the assessment responsibilities within the University of St Mark & St John relating to taught programmes of study and should be read in conjunction with other information in this Framework. What follows applies to the formal processes of the Module Assessment Boards and Progression and Award Boards relating to all taught provision.

2. The University operates a two-tier arrangement of Module Assessment Boards (MABs) and Progression and Award Boards (PABs) for all taught programmes.

3. Module Assessment Boards determine the final mark for each student in every module within their purview and award credit for the module to every student who has demonstrated achievement of the learning outcomes of those modules.

4. Progression and Award Boards make decisions relating to the progression of students in programmes and the consequence of failure at any stage of a programme. They also make recommendations for the conferment and classification of awards to Academic Board.

5. Module Assessment Boards must use the University standard module marksheets for all University-approved modules. On completion, the marks must be made available to Registry Services by the date and time stated in the Assessments Calendar 2017-18.

6. The Registrar is responsible for the administration of all formal examinations and PABs, assisted by Registry Services staff and by the staff of other administrative offices.

7. The Heads of Department are responsible for the academic standards and the content of examination papers or coursework assignments.

3.2 Emergency Powers

Exceptionally, circumstances beyond the control of the University might make it impossible to operate the processes of assessment in accordance with these regulations and procedures. In such circumstances, the Vice-Chancellor, as Chair of Academic Board may vary these regulations and procedures or vary the constitution or quoracy requirements of any board, panel or committee. The Vice-Chancellor will make the variation(s) known to staff and students by a written statement published either in hard copy or electronically or both. Before doing so, the Vice-Chancellor will notify the Chair of the Board of Governors in writing of the variation and the reasons for it. The Vice-Chancellor will also report the variation and the reasons for it to the next meeting of Academic Board. Specific powers relating to Module
Assessment Boards and Progression and Award Boards are to be found in the relevant sections of these regulations. Guidance on the procedures to be followed when using these powers may be obtained from the Registrar.

3.3 **Module Assessment Boards (MABs) – General Administration**

1. Registry Services is responsible for drafting and publishing the schedule for all Module Assessment Boards and Progression and Award Boards. Faculty-based administrative staff book rooms for these meetings and make portering and catering arrangements. Heads of Department inform all internal examiners of the schedule that relates to them.

2. Heads of Department, assisted by the appropriate offices, are responsible for ensuring that accurate marks, and annotations, are presented to the relevant University assessment boards.

3. Heads of Department are responsible for liaising with External Examiners with regard to inspection of coursework and practical examinations.

4. Registry Services is responsible for the co-ordination of agreed marks from Module Assessment Boards and the presentation of these marks to the appropriate Progression and Award Board.

3.4 **Module Assessment Boards (MABs) – Membership and Quoracy**

1. Membership of a Module Assessment Board is as follows:

   - The Chair, who will normally be the Head of one of the Departments covered by the Board
   - The External Examiner(s) for the cognate groups of modules under consideration
   - Module Leaders of all modules to be considered by the Board.

   In addition, the Registrar has the right to attend or to send a nominee.

2. A Module Assessment Board will be quorate if the following members are in attendance:

   - The Chair or nominated deputy
   - The External Examiner(s) (however, the Board may proceed if the External Examiner is exceptionally unable to attend in accordance with the procedure described in the External Examiners Handbook.)

3. The Chair is appointed on the authority of Academic Board and is accountable to Academic Board for ensuring that the Module Assessment Board fulfils its responsibilities in accordance with these regulations and procedures.
4. In addition to the membership, a person shall be appointed to act as Secretary to the Board to provide to the Chair of the Board as soon as possible after the meeting a draft record of the proceedings of the meeting including a list of those present and the capacity in which they attended, all the decisions made and the reasons for them. The Chair is responsible for ensuring that minutes are circulated to members as soon as reasonably practicable after the meeting and that a copy is lodged with Registry Services 48 hours before any Progression and Award Board that will receive decisions of the Module Assessment Board.

5. No student may attend any part of a meeting of a Module Assessment Board, save that a member of staff or approved External Examiner who is coincidentally registered as a student on another programme of the University or elsewhere shall not be disqualified from discharging normal examining commitments.

6. The role of the External Examiner, the associated rights and responsibilities and the procedure to be followed if the External Examiner is exceptionally unable to attend the meeting are described in the External Examiners Handbook.

7. A Module Leader who is exceptionally unable to attend a meeting of a Module Assessment Board must provide written advice to the Board relating to the assessment of his or her module(s). If, exceptionally, she or he is unable to provide such advice, the Head of Department will investigate the assessment of the module and report to the Module Assessment Board on behalf of the Module Leader.

8. Module Assessment Boards should start promptly and all members should have with them their own copies of relevant module descriptors, regulations etc.

9. In circumstances beyond the University's control where it is not possible to convene a normally constituted Module Assessment Board, the Vice-Chancellor, having received advice from the Registrar, will determine the arrangements necessary to conduct the business of the meeting. In such circumstances, the decision and the reasons for it will be reported in full to the next meeting of the Academic Board.

3.5 Module Assessment Boards (MABs) – Business

1. The Module Assessment Board will receive:

- the minutes of its previous substantive meeting and any intervening meetings;
- notification of any actions taken under delegated authority;
- the current approved module descriptor of each module;
- the examination papers, course work and other assessments used;
• the name of any student alleged to have been guilty of academic misconduct in relation to any of the modules under consideration and details of any action taken in relation to it;
• the previous annual report of the External Examiner and the response thereto;
• the record of the relevant APL Board
• in respect of each student:
  o if appropriate, a report on any placement or work-based learning undertaken;
  o if appropriate, a report on any practical activity undertaken;
  o the overall mark for the module;
  o the mark for each assessment element (i.e. coursework, examination etc);
  o the mark for each component;
  o a record against each assessment mark of any decision made by the Extenuating Circumstances Panel;
  o a record against each mark showing if it is the result of the application of an assessment penalty, and if it is, the mark without penalty should also be available;

2. The Module Assessment Board shall:
• agree the final version of the minutes of the previous meeting to be a true record of that meeting and note any matters arising from them;
• receive the summary statistics available for each module and identify any anomalies which may require attention by the Board, or action for the next academic session;
• scrutinise the marks or grades relating to each module and the analysis of the marks and identify and obtain an explanation for any anomaly, or other cause for concern, and take any action considered necessary, seeking advice from the External Examiner;
• having taken any such action, make decisions in relation to each student, as described below, taking into account any decisions of the Extenuating Circumstances Panel;
• confirm the marks or grades for each candidate in each module, in accordance with those decisions;
• assign credit to individual students on the basis of the confirmed marks or grades;
• make recommendations for resit and/or deferral assessments for those students who have not been assigned credit;
• authorise the update of the Student Record System and the notification of confirmed marks to students;
• receive an oral report from each External Examiner concerning the standards achieved by students and the quality of the provision;
• review the response to the previous External Examiner’s report and consider the rationale for any recommendations that have not been acted upon;
• consider the adequacy of all assessments used in each module and make recommendations for future assessments;
• formally delegate its authority to Chair’s Action to resolve any cases arising from resit or deferral, or where, subsequent to the meeting, students present reasons and circumstances, considered by the Chair to be both acceptable and supported by satisfactory evidence, which have led to a mark or grade being wrongly confirmed. In all such cases the Chair must document her or his decision, the reasons for it, and the extent of any consultation and report the decision without delay to the Registrar and to the next meeting of the Module Assessment Board.

3.6 Module Assessment Boards (MABs) – Moderation of Marks

1. Module Assessment Boards may moderate marks as a collective decision, on the recommendation of an external examiner, and after reviewing the full range of information if, in the opinion of the Board:

• the pass threshold has been incorrectly applied; or
• the marks do not fall within the expected mark distribution; or
• the Head of Department confirms that a department or programme management issue, including any such issue that has been identified via the formal complaints process, has disadvantaged all students taking the module.

2. The Chair of the Module Assessment Board must ensure that any moderation, and the reason for it, is recorded in the minutes.

3.7 Module Assessment Boards (MABs) – Decisions

1. The Module Assessment Board confirms grades awarded to individual students and module cohorts. It has the authority to review cohort results, but not individual student marks.

2. In the event of a rubric infringement such as attempting more examination questions than required, the Module Assessment Board will receive a mark that disregards the lower or lowest mark.

3. Where students are given target word counts or equivalents for an assessment, the instructions for the assessment should make clear before the student undertakes the assessment, by way of the relevant programme specification, what the consequences of exceeding or failing to reach the word count are. These are likely to be determined by the practice in a particular discipline or programme. Those consequences should be applied in the marking process and associated comment should be made in the feedback to the student. The Module Assessment Board should receive details of adjustments of this kind which will be fully recorded in the Module Assessment Board minutes.
4. The module mark reported to students and to the Module Assessment Board and Progression and Award Board will be a rounded integer as follows:

- a decimal of x.50 or greater shall round up to the next highest integer;
- a decimal lower than x.50 shall round down to the next lowest integer.

5. In respect of each student on each module, the Module Assessment Board must make one of the following decisions, which it will pass to the Progression and Award Board.

   a) Passed - confirms that the credit has been assigned. A module is passed if a student has achieved the module outcomes, the evidence for this being achievement of the overall module pass mark (40% for undergraduate modules, 50% for postgraduate modules) regardless of the mark achieved in any element of assessment. Note however that certain programme regulations may require all elements of some or all modules to be passed for professional accreditation purposes. In such cases the MAB will annotate the mark “n” to show that the module is not yet passed for professional accreditation purposes.

   b) Failed - confirms that the student has not achieved the module outcomes and that credit has not been assigned.

   c) No Decision - confirms that the student has not satisfactorily completed the assessment of the module for good reason that would not be considered under the Extenuating Circumstances Procedures or that the Module Assessment Board has been unable to confirm the mark and assign credit because of some procedural delay. In all such cases the marksheet will be annotated with the letter “q” and the reason minuted. In such cases, the Chair is required to ensure that the reasons for such missing marks are noted in full, such that they can be accurately forwarded to the Progression and Award Board for decision-making purposes.

   d) Decision Withheld – confirms that the Module Assessment Board has made a decision but has withheld notification of it to the student and to the Progression and Award Board until the outcome of Academic Misconduct Procedures is known in accordance with Section 15 of this Framework. In all such cases the marksheet will be annotated with the letter “s”. The annotation ‘m’ should be used only when academic misconduct has been confirmed and the work has been resubmitted to a passing standard.

3.8 Module Assessment Boards (MABs) – Assessment Penalties

1. Responsibility for the application of assessment penalties rests with the Module Assessment Board when it determines the final mark in the module.
2. All cases in which assessment penalties have been applied or in which work has not been accepted for assessment shall be reported to the Module Assessment Board and to the Progression and Award Board.

3. The Module Assessment Board will waive an assessment penalty, if it receives a decision from an Extenuating Circumstances Panel that late submission of that work was due to valid Extenuating Circumstances.

4. The Module Assessment Board has no discretion to waive penalties imposed in accordance with the University’s Student Misconduct Procedures, neither does it have any authority to impose any penalty for alleged academic misconduct. In the event of such an allegation coming to light at the meeting or being unresolved by the time of the meeting, the Module Assessment Board will make its decisions in the normal way but the Progression and Award Board will withhold them from the student until the outcome of Student Misconduct Procedures is known, annotating the marksheet with the letter “s”.

3.9 Module Assessment Boards (MABs) – Finalising and Signing the Marksheet

1. When the Module Assessment Board has agreed the marks, with or without moderation, the Chair and the External Examiner sign the marksheet where paper copies are still provided, or together confirm the submission of marks into the student record. Where multiple markssheets require signature, a cover sheet may be signed instead to confirm this. The significance of this process, and the procedure to be followed if the External Examiner is unable or unwilling to sign the marksheet, is described in the External Examiners Handbook. The External Examiner does not normally undertake this process for markssheets for modules that do not contribute to an award or the classification of an award, e.g. Level 4 modules in an Honours degree programme.

2. After the External Examiner has completed this process, no marks may be changed, other than in exceptional circumstances and with the written agreement of the External Examiner. Marks approved at the MAB may not be released to individual students until all assessment results are published by Registry Services.

3. NB: For Level 5 and 6 modules, any changes to the module mark sheets made at the Module Assessment Board must be recorded in the minutes.

3.10 Module Assessment Boards (MABs) – Convention for Reporting Resit Requirements to the PAB

1. Module Assessment Boards are required to make recommendations to the Progression and Award Board concerning students with failed modules and/or modules in which the assessment is deferred.
2. In setting resit and deferred assessments, Module Assessment Boards should take into account that students must pass every element of assessment the Board has set for their retrieval of failure. Specifically, resit marks are not combined with existing marks from passed elements, nor with each other, in such a way that the student will accumulate a module pass mark.

3. Chairs of Module Assessment Boards must ensure that notations, as approved by the Registrar, appear after each module score on the module mark sheet where resit assessment is to be recommended. See Section 6 of this Framework for further information about setting resit work. See Section 4 of this Framework relating to deferred assessment in cases of valid Extenuating Circumstances.

3.11 Student Review Process

1. When the results of first semester or first term assessments are known, the University will assess the engagement and progress of its undergraduate students. This will be in the form of a desk-based exercise where the Registrar will assess interim results for evidence of:

   - Modules with a high number of fails
   - Student profiles that demonstrate a downward or upward trend from previous marks.
   - Any queries related to registration or enrolment.

Following on from this exercise, the Registrar will seek the Programme Leaders and Programme Area Leaders’, Dean’s and Student Support’s comments on any such matters.

2. It is noted that this exercise does not constitute a regulatory assessment board of the University and it has no authority to make any decisions regarding the progression or continuing enrolment of any student or recommendations for the conferment of academic awards.

3.12 Extenuating Circumstances

1. Action relating to extenuating circumstances must be taken strictly in accordance with the relevant Student Regulations, as set out in Section 4 of this Framework. Extenuating circumstances are circumstances that lessen or mitigate the apparent seriousness of academic failure. Typically they include illness, close family bereavement and severe emotional stress. They are temporary, abnormal and mostly unexpected circumstances that are beyond the student’s control and that relate to a particular assessment or assessments. They are claimed by an individual student and are verified either through certificated evidence or by an appropriately qualified and impartial third party. Extenuating Circumstances do not include long-term conditions or circumstances that affect learning.
2. The Extenuating Circumstances Panel decides the validity or otherwise of claims for extenuating circumstances. No other body and no individual member of staff is authorised to rule on the validity or otherwise of a claim for extenuating circumstances. A Module Assessment Board or Progression and Award Board may not take into account any extenuating circumstances unless they have been reported to and considered by an Extenuating Circumstances Panel, and must then act in accordance with the recommendation of that panel.

3. The Chair of the Extenuating Circumstances Panel will notify Module Assessment Boards that Valid Extenuating Circumstances apply to named students in specific assessments in named modules.

4. Module Assessment Boards must, where Valid Extenuating Circumstances have prevented the student from submitting an assessment by the due date, waive the assessment penalty and ensure the assessment attracts the full mark it justifies on its merits alone.

5. In other cases of Valid Extenuating Circumstances, where no mark is recorded for an assessment, Module Assessment Boards may:
   - permit the assessment of the module in the form of the affected assessment(s) to be deferred until specified dates;
   - exceptionally, if it is not possible to replicate the original assessment, permit the assessment of the module by means of different assessment(s), which must be defined and made known to the student, to be deferred until specified dates.

6. In relation to any assessment, an assessment penalty imposed following Academic Misconduct and Student Misconduct Procedures described in Sections 15 and 16 of this Framework over-rides any valid extenuating circumstances.

7. The Module Assessment Board will minute all decisions reached in respect of valid extenuating circumstances made known to it.

8. Chairs of Module Assessment Boards must ensure that "v" appears after each element of assessment on the module mark sheets where extenuating circumstances have been found valid whether or not the module has been passed. If the module pass mark has not been reached, then "E" annotation is combined with the “f” annotation on the MAB Module Marksheet as “Ef”.

9. Module Assessment Boards make recommendations to the Progression and Award Board concerning students with failed modules and/or modules in which the assessment is deferred. Chairs of those Boards must ensure that notations appear after each module score on the module mark sheet where resit/deferred assessment is recommended. E.g.:
   - x1 one exam
   - c1 one item of coursework
• c2 two items of coursework
• x1c1 one exam and one item of coursework

The notations will appear on lists presented to the Progression and Award Board (i.e. “20Ef x1c1” indicates a deferred decision and a recommendation to the Progression and Award Board that the student attempts the examination and one piece of coursework). If the MAB has waived a penalty for late submission of coursework, the “v” annotation remains, but no coursework/exam annotation is added.

3.13 Viva Voce Examinations

1. The University does not permit the use of viva voce examinations to determine an individual student's marks or to make recommendations for awards, unless such an examination is specified in the module descriptor as a method of assessment for all students on that module.

2. In such cases, the Head of Department will make all arrangements, with both the external examiner(s) and the students for the viva voce examination.

3.14 Progression and Award Boards (PABs) - General

1. The primary purpose of the Progression and Award Board is to oversee the assessment of awards, to decide, for each student, on progression on a programme and to make recommendations to Academic Board, or to another awarding body, on the award, and category of award, to be conferred upon individual students.

2. All named awards shall be assigned to the authority of a Progression and Award Board.

3. The Progression and Award Board shall convene meetings, to discharge the duties defined by these regulations. Such meetings will take place according to a schedule determined by the Registrar.

4. In exercising the powers provided by these regulations, the Progression and Award Board shall have due regard to the principles described in the University’s Assessment Policy, the standard of the award, the identified aims and objectives of any particular programme and the individual candidate’s overall performance.

5. Only a Progression and Award Board constituted in accordance with these Regulations shall be authorised to recommend conferment on a student of an academic award of the University or of any awarding body with which the University has a collaborative agreement.

6. The University's academic awards shall be conferred by Academic Board on behalf of the University in accordance with decisions of the Progression and
Award Board. Academic Board will make recommendations to other awarding bodies with which the University has a collaborative agreement in accordance with decisions of the Progression and Award Board.

7. The decisions of Academic Board with regard to academic awards shall be determined by the signature of the Vice-Chancellor and Registrar, as Chair and Secretary of Academic Board respectively, upon the Pass List, and shall accord with the recommendation of the Progression and Award Board.

3.15 Progression and Award Boards (PABs) - Constitution, Terms of Reference and Membership

1. The following have membership rights of Progression and Award Boards:

   - Chair;
   - Progression and Award Board External Examiner(s) for awards under consideration;
   - Chairs or a nominee of the Module Assessment Boards relevant to the PAB;
   - Registrar or nominated deputy

   Additional Registry Services staff may attend at the Registrar's discretion.

2. The Chair is appointed on the authority of Academic Board and is accountable to Academic Board for ensuring that the Progression and Award Board fulfils its responsibilities in accordance with these regulations. The Registrar or nominee will advise and assist the Chair in this respect.

3. No student may be a member of a Progression and Award Board, save that a member of staff or approved External Examiner who is coincidentally registered as a student on another programme in the University or elsewhere shall not be disqualified from discharging normal examining commitments.

4. The Progression and Award Board, when recommending the conferment of an academic award or considering the progression of students, shall be quorate with the following membership:

   - Chair or nominated deputy;
   - Progression and Award Board External Examiner(s) for awards under consideration;
   - Chairs or a nominee of the Module Assessment Boards relevant to the PAB;
   - Registrar or nominee.

   If exceptionally, a MAB Chair is unable to attend, the Board will be quorate provided that the Dean has ensured that someone is present at the Board to represent students on all programmes in his/her Faculty.
5. The role of the Progression and Award Board External Examiner and the associated rights and responsibilities are described in the External Examiners Handbook. If, exceptionally, the Progression and Award Board External Examiner is unable to attend the meeting, and the University is unable to arrange for one of the External Examiners for modules contributing to one or more of the awards to attend, then the Progression and Award Board External Examiner will:

- as far as possible, make his or her views known to the Board before it meets;
- after the Board has met, write to the Chair of the Progression and Award Board stating the reason for absence, the extent of his or her involvement with the processes of assessment and signing satisfaction with them. The letter shall not be considered to signify agreement with every decision of the Progression and Award Board.
- the minutes of the meeting will record the express consent of the Chair to the continuation of the business of the meeting.

6. In circumstances beyond the University’s control where it is not possible to convene a normally constituted Progression and Award Board, the Vice-Chancellor, on advice from the Registrar, will determine the arrangements necessary to conduct business of the meeting. In such circumstances, the decision and the reasons for it will be reported in full to the next meeting of the Academic Board.

3.16 Progression and Award Boards (PABs) - Process and Powers

1. The Progression and Award Board will receive:

- the minutes of its previous meeting and any intervening sub-committee(s);
- notification of any actions taken under the delegation of its authority at a previous meeting;
- the relevant regulations, policies and procedures;
- the confirmed marks and assigned credit from the appropriate Module Assessment Board(s) for each student registered for awards for which it is responsible;
- decisions made by Module Assessment Board(s) with regard to failure and deferral;
- a record against each module of Extenuating Circumstances found Valid by the Extenuating Circumstances Panel with an indication of action that remains to be taken;
- the name of any student alleged to have been guilty of academic misconduct in relation to a module and details of any action taken in relation to it;
- the annual report(s) of the Progression and Award Board External Examiner(s) (formerly known as the General External Examiner);
- the name of any student in breach of University regulations from whom an official transcript/certificate will be withheld.
2. The Progression and Award Board will:

- before making decisions about any students, agree the general protocols for the exercise of discretion such as those cases where it is claimed that performance has been affected by extenuating circumstances;
- agree the final version of the minutes of the previous meeting to be a true record of that meeting and note any matters arising from them;
- note any actions taken under authority delegated at its previous meetings;
- exercise its discretion to condone failure in accordance with the provisions of these regulations;
- in the event of Extenuating Circumstances having been found Valid in accordance with University regulations that have not otherwise been completed, exercise discretion as appropriate in accordance with the provisions of those and other regulations;
- determine whether to permit a student to progress to the next stage of a programme;
- determine whether any student shall be excluded from their programme of study on academic grounds;
- determine whether to allow any student to make good an initial failure in one or more modules by resit assessment;
- determine whether to allow any student to make good an initial failure in one or more modules by repeat assessment of the module;
- subject to the constraints of these regulations, substitute for an earlier resit decision a requirement to repeat the assessment of a module for any student where the resit requirements have not been successfully completed;
- determine whether to exercise discretion to extend a candidate’s registration when a candidate is otherwise excluded by the application of these or other University regulations;
- recommend the conferment and, as appropriate, categorisation of an award, including, as appropriate, an Aegrotat award;
- determine whether or not to defer recommending progression or the conferment of an award until specified conditions, within a specified time scale, have been met;
- authorise the publication of its decisions and recommendations;
- consider the previous annual report/s of the Progression and Award Board External Examiner(s) and the response thereto, including a rationale for any recommendations that have not been acted upon, and refer any matters of concern to Academic Board;
- fulfil, as appropriate, any specific and additional requirements of any external accrediting body for the award recognised by Academic Board.

3.17 Progression and Award Boards (PABs) - Decisions

1. At the conclusion of each meeting the Chair shall sign a list of the decisions of the Progression and Award Board. The Chair shall ensure that the Minutes Secretary releases the decisions in accordance with the approved Assessments Calendar.
2. The list shall show the name and/or Student Record System Identification Number of each student and the decision of the Progression and Award Board. The decision shall be one of the following:

- **Progress**: this confirms that the student may continue to the next stage of the programme and, if appropriate, has been permitted to carry forward a module or modules for which credit has not yet been assigned.

- **Recommend the award of [name of specific award]**: this confirms that an academic award is to be made to the student following, as appropriate, completion of the programme of study, withdrawal or exclusion.

- **Decision Deferred**: this confirms either that there is insufficient information on which to make a decision or that the student has not been awarded sufficient credit to allow progression or the recommendation of an award, and the shortfall in credit is due to Extenuating Circumstances found to be Valid by an Extenuating Circumstances Panel. Such deferral may be in addition to a decision to allow resit assessment. The Progression and Award Board will not make the decision “Decision Deferred” following a resit if the timing of any deferred resit would make normal progress impracticable (see Section 3.16.2 of this Framework). Instead it will allow the student to repeat the assessment of the outstanding modules and will determine whether or not the student may progress before completion of the repeat assessment (see also Section 5 of this Framework).

- **Resit**: this confirms that further marks are to be confirmed and credit assigned following an opportunity to make good an initial failure before progression can be determined or an award can be recommended, and may be in addition to a decision of “Decision Deferred”.

- **Retake Module(s)**: this confirms that the student may not continue to the next stage of the programme or be recommended for an award until all the credit required has been assigned following repeat assessment. Students must enrol to retake module(s) and register for those modules in accordance with University regulations. Unless the Progression and Award Board specifically states otherwise the student must complete all the assessments required in the next delivery of the module and gain sufficient marks to pass the module. The mark for the repeated module is capped at the module pass mark. See also Section 6 of this Framework.

- **Exclude**: this confirms that the student may not continue to study for the named award for which she or he is registered at the University as a full-time student. This decision does not prevent the student transferring her or his registration to another programme of study within the University, subject to the agreement of the Programme Leader/Programme Area Leader (s) for that programme.

- **Decision Withheld**: this confirms that one of the above decisions has been determined but that it is not to be released yet by way of an official transcript/certificate, either because the student is in breach of the
University’s regulations in some respect or because the student has to consider whether or not to accept the offer of an award prior to its recommendation. The Decision is released by subsequent Chair’s Action.

3. The Registrar shall ensure that, as soon as practicable after the Board, sufficient further details of the Board’s decisions are supplied to each student that she or he can exercise all of his or her rights under these and any other regulations of the University. In particular, those further details must make clear any actions the student must complete in order to retrieve any failure.

4. At the conclusion of the meeting of the Progression and Award Board, the Progression and Award Board External Examiner will sign the Board Papers. Where multiple marksheets require signature, a cover sheet may be signed instead to confirm this. This endorsement on the Board Papers signifies general satisfaction with the effectiveness and adequacy of the assessment processes, with particular reference to the student profiles and issues of fairness. It does not necessarily indicate agreement with every individual mark or recommendation of the Board.

3.18 Progression and Award Boards (PABs) - Delegation

1. The Progression and Award Board will, by resolution, delegate to a subsidiary body its authority in relation to the progression of, and recommendation of awards to, students following resit assessment. The resolution must define the membership and quorum of such a subsidiary body and prescribe the reporting arrangements to the Board.

2. The Progression and Award Board will, by resolution, delegate to the Chair its authority in relation to the progression and recommendation of awards to students arising from deferred decisions and the release of withheld decisions. The resolution shall require the Chair to document her or his decision, the reasons for it, and the extent of any consultation. The resolution shall also require the Chair to report the decision to the next meeting of the Board.

3. The Progression and Award Board will, by resolution, delegate to the Chair its authority in relation to the outcome of successful appeals (see Section 17 of this Framework). The Chair shall report any such decision to the next meeting of the Board.

4. No authority of the Progression and Award Board can be delegated other than as defined within this regulation.

3.19 Progression and Award Boards (PABs) - Minutes

1. The Registrar shall appoint a Minutes Secretary to draft the Minutes of the meeting of the Board and of any sub-committee.
2. In the case of the exercise of the discretionary powers described below, the
minutes must include all the information specified within that regulation. In the
case of the exercise of any other discretionary powers under these
regulations, the minutes shall record in full the reasons for any decision to
exercise discretion or not to do so.

3. The Chair of the Progression and Award Board shall ensure that approved
draft minutes of meetings are issued to members within a reasonable time.
The Progression and Award Board will receive and consider the minutes of its
previous meeting as described in Section 3.16 of this Framework.

3.20 Authority to Depart Exceptionally from University Regulations

1. Within the constraints of the programme objectives and good practice within
the UK Higher Education sector, the Progression and Award Board will have
discretion, in exceptional circumstances, to depart from any of these
regulations, except this regulation, if, in the opinion of the Board, a strict
application of the regulations would be unjust to a student or students. The
Progression and Award Board will take advice from the Progression and
Award Board External Examiner in relation to good practice in the sector.

2. In such a case the minutes must record all of the following information:

- a reference to this regulation;
- the name of the student(s);
- the regulation from which the Progression and Award Board wishes to
depart;
- the full circumstances in which the Progression and Award Board
considered it necessary to exercise the discretionary power under this
regulation;
- the reason why the Progression and Award Board considered it necessary
to exercise the discretionary power under this regulation including specific
mention of the consequences of the exercise of discretion for the student
and the consequences of not exercising such discretion;
- the advice of the Progression and Award Board External Examiner in
relation to good practice within the UK higher education sector;
- the reason why such discretionary power should not be exercised in
respect of other students.

The Chair of the Progression and Award Board should report in writing via its
Minutes Secretary to both the Vice-Chancellor and Registrar with regard to the
departure from the regulations, so that this can be reported to Academic
Board.

3.21 Other Procedural Matters

1. The Chair and the Head of Registry Services will retain copies of mark sheets.
At the end of the meeting the Board Administrator will collect and destroy all
copies of the mark sheets distributed at the start of the meeting not removed by their owner.

2. All members of a Progression and Award Board or Module Assessment Board must exercise the strictest confidentiality regarding its proceedings. Board decisions are the decisions of all its members jointly and severally, regardless of the members’ views before those decisions were finalised.

3. The Registrar is responsible for communicating the decisions of Assessment Boards and issuing statements relating to appeal procedures. Candidates whose results are not published by the deadline for procedural reasons will simultaneously receive separate notification. S/he will also retain award lists and details of Chair’s actions taken after the PAB meeting.

4. Deans of Faculties will ensure that overnight accommodation for external examiners is arranged and inform them and relevant staff of those arrangements. Deans of Faculties are also responsible for reimbursing expenses to External Examiners for their programmes in accordance with University Financial Regulations. The Academic Standards Officer is responsible for making arrangements for the payment of External Examiners’ fees in association with Registry Services.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Title</th>
<th>University of St Mark &amp; St John Student Regulations Framework – Section 3 Assessment Regulations and Procedures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Document Reference</td>
<td>L:\Student Regulations Framework\Student Regulations Framework 2017-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuing Authority</td>
<td>Academic Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custodian</td>
<td>Registrar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document Date</td>
<td>16th October 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Amended</td>
<td>7th August 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>Unclassified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulation</td>
<td>Website, paper copies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective from</td>
<td>September 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review Date</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective until</td>
<td>September 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>Updated annually. Subject to minor revision, August 2017.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>