RD4b Research degree major review

Students are expected to submit **RD3b & RD4b** to the full supervisory team and independent assessor at least four weeks ahead of the major review meeting. In addition, the student should submit a portfolio of academic work such as draft chapters and other significant pieces of work which contribute to their thesis objective.

Section 1 – to be completed by the student

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name |  |
| Registered for | PhD  MPhil |
| Mode of study | Full-time  Part-time |
| Start date |  |
| Title |  |
| Date of major review meeting |  |
| Attempt | First  Second |
| Anticipated date of completion |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Summary of progress to date (500 words maximum): |

|  |
| --- |
| How does your research contribute to knowledge? |

|  |
| --- |
| Action Plan (a summary of what is required for PhD completion) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Signature: | Date: |

Section 2 – to be completed by the Director of Studies

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Independent assessor (name and title) |  |
| Institution |  |
| Post held |  |

**Please note it is a requirement that all members of the supervision team participate in the major review meeting. By signing this form, you are confirming attendance of the full supervision team.**

|  |
| --- |
| Panel Report (statement on the candidate’s progress, including any comment on any submitted written work, comment on the oral discussion, progress with any related studies, and whether the candidate meets the criteria to pass Major Review): |

|  |
| --- |
| Recommendation (see clause 70 of University of Chichester Higher Degree Regulations, Code of Practice for Research Candidature & Supervision, available via PGR supervisor dashboard): |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Has the following criteria been met?   1. The research student has demonstrated the ability to manage the research project, to become proficient in the special field of research involved, and to achieve success at PhD level given adequate motivation and perseverance. 2. The project being undertaken is of sufficient scope, originality and theoretical interest to constitute a genuine contribution to the subject in the form of the understanding of a problem, the advancement of knowledge or the generation of new ideas. | Yes  No  Yes    No |

|  |
| --- |
| Additional work needed to pass major review (if relevant): |

Signatures of supervision team

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Role in supervision team** | **Signature** | **Date** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Signature of assessor

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Name: | Signature: | Date: |

Approval - Research Degrees Scrutiny Panel

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Name:  Chair | Signature: | Date: |

Approval - Research Degree Group, University of Chichester

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Name:  Chair | Signature: | Date: |

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**RKEO administration**

* Present RD4b to RDSP
* Submit RD4b to RDG Chichester for approval